

# Registries Stakeholder Group Statement



## Public Comment: IANA Naming Function Review (IFR) Initial Report

Date statement submitted: **2 December 2020**

Reference url:

<https://www.icann.org/public-comments/ifr-initial-report-2020-10-08-en>

### Background<sup>1</sup>

The **scope** of the IANA Naming Function Reviews is defined by [ICANN Bylaws Article 18](#). IANA Naming Function Review (IFR) Initial Report (2 October 2020) available [here](#).

---

## Registries Stakeholder Group comment

The Registries Stakeholder Group (RySG) welcomes the opportunity to comment on the IANA Naming Function Review (IFR) Initial Report and extends its thanks to the members of the Review Team for their work on this effort.

Members of the RySG operate generic top-level domains via contracts with ICANN, and are the direct customers of the IANA Naming Function. We recognize the important role the IFR plays in ensuring the ongoing accountability of PTI as the steward of the IANA function and as such have reviewed the Initial Report with keen interest. We are pleased to note that the RySG supports each of the recommendations contained therein, as we believe they will increase transparency and improve efficiencies going forward.

We note that the review progressed according to its schedule after the Review Team was established and we are encouraged to see the ICANN community fulfilling the commitments made during the IANA transition.

---

*Registries Stakeholder Group (RySG) Comment – In the interest of time, we did not conduct a vote on these comments. We did discuss them on our mailing list and during a biweekly conference call, and no member opposed their submission.*

---

<sup>1</sup> *Background: intended to give a brief context for the comment and to highlight what is most relevant for RO's in the subject document – it is not a summary of the subject document.*