

# Registries Stakeholder Group Statement



## PTI / IANA Governance Proposal

Date statement submitted<sup>1</sup>: 30 June 2023

Reference url:

<https://www.icann.org/en/public-comment/proceeding/pti-iana-governance-proposal-16-05-2023>

### Background<sup>2</sup>

The purpose of this Public Comment is to seek community feedback on the proposed Public Technical Identifiers (PTI) Bylaws amendment to reduce complexities and improve the PTI-related planning processes with the overall ICANN planning process.

The proposed PTI Bylaws amendments include:

- Modifying the timing for the initial delivery of the PTI Operating Plan & Budget to the PTI Board, which is currently set to nine months prior to the start of the fiscal year, to a 90-day window. This will allow better alignment with the remainder of ICANN's planning processes.
- Moving PTI from a four-year strategic planning cycle to a five-year strategic planning cycle, which will enable alignment with ICANN's five-year strategic planning work.

### **Documents**

- [Redline - Proposed PTI Bylaws amendment \(pdf, 80.39 KB\)](#)

### **Related RySG comments**

The RySG noted the inconvenient difference between the five-year ICANN planning window and PTI's four-year cycle in earlier public comments on the draft IANA and PTI Operating Plans and Budgets, for example [FY24 \(Nov 2022\)](#), and its comments on the [Draft PTI FY21-24 Strategic Plan \(June 2020\)](#).

---

## Registries Stakeholder Group Comment

The Registries Stakeholder Group (RySG) appreciates the opportunity to comment on the proposed Public Technical Identifiers (PTI) Bylaws amendment. The RySG supports the goal to reduce complexity in planning processes and to increase alignment with other existing ICANN planning processes.

In particular, the RySG has noted the difference between the five-year ICANN planning window and PTI's four-year cycle in earlier public comments<sup>3</sup>. We are pleased to see this amended with the proposed changes. We believe that the changes will increase the efficiency of the various planning processes and enable the Community to more effectively engage and participate in those processes.

We thank the team for its hard work to evaluate and recommend well scoped changes to the PTI Bylaws to increase efficiency.

---

<sup>1</sup> This is a copy of the text submitted via the ICANN Public comment platform.

<sup>2</sup> Background: intended to give a brief context for the comment and to highlight what is most relevant for RO's in the subject document – it is not a summary of the subject document.

<sup>3</sup> Past RySG Comments: draft IANA and PTI Operating Plans and Budgets [FY24 \(Nov 2022\)](#), and its comments on the [Draft PTI FY21-24 Strategic Plan \(June 2020\)](#).

---

*Summary of Submission:*

*The Registries Stakeholder Group (RySG) appreciates the opportunity to comment on the proposed Public Technical Identifiers (PTI) Bylaws amendment. The RySG supports the goal to reduce complexity in planning processes and to increase alignment with other existing ICANN planning processes.*