

Registries Stakeholder Group

Overview of Public Comments 2025

Update July 2025

PUBLIC COMMENTS

1st quarter 2025

Continuous Improvement Program Framework
IANA 2023 Strategy: Draft PTI Strategic Plan covering 2025 to 2030
Phase 2 Final Report on the EPDP-IDNs for ICANN Board Consideration
Third Proceeding for Proposed Language for Draft Sections of Next Round AGB
Draft PTI FY26 Operating Plan and Budget
ICANN FY26-30 Operating & Financial Plan, ICANN/IANA FY26 Op Plans & Budgets
Proposed Updates to the Operating Standards for Specific Reviews

2nd quarter 2025

Fourth Proceeding for Proposed Language for Draft Sections of Next Round AGB
Second IANA Naming Function Review Team (IFR2) Initial Report
Proposal for Thaana script Root Zone Label Generation Rules
How We Meet: Reviewing the Implementation of the ICANN Public Meetings Strategy
Governance Document for the Recognition, Maintenance, and Derecognition of RIRs
Customer Standing Committee (CSC) changes Process for Amending the IANA SLAs
Transfer Policy Review Working Group Final Report for ICANN Board Consideration
PSR on Expired Domain Deletion and Expired Registration Recovery Policies

OTHER COMMENT OPPORTUNITIES

How We Meet
GNSO Accuracy Assignment

PUBLIC COMMENTS

1ST QUARTER 2025

CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

The Registries Stakeholder Group (RySG) supports the proposed Continuous Improvement Program Framework as drafted and believes its Principles, Criteria and Indicators approach provides the necessary flexibility. While supportive of the five principles, the RySG questions whether evaluating the structures on their external accountability to ICANN community is appropriate. The RySG also supports the proposed two 3-year assessment periods.

Links: [RySG Comment \(17 Jan\)](#) – [ICANN org Report of Comments \(13 Feb\)](#)

IANA 2023 STRATEGY: DRAFT PTI STRATEGIC PLAN COVERING 2025 TO 2030

The Registries Stakeholder Group (RySG) is broadly supportive of the Draft Strategic Plan and the different goals as organized into three focus areas of innovation, operational excellence, and community engagement and reminded that, along with the members of ccNSO and other members of the community, the RySG depends on an effective, efficient, reliable, and secure IANA function as operated by PTI.

Links: [RySG Comment \(29 Jan\)](#) - [ICANN org Report of Comments \(3 March\)](#)

PHASE 2 FINAL REPORT ON THE EPDP-IDNS FOR ICANN BOARD CONSIDERATION

The Registries Stakeholder Group (RySG) fully supports the Final Report as drafted and recommends the ICANN Board adopt all recommendations.

Links: [RySG Comment \(31 Jan\)](#) – [ICANN Staff Report on Comments \(18 Feb\)](#)

THIRD PROCEEDING FOR PROPOSED LANGUAGE FOR DRAFT SECTIONS OF NEXT ROUND AGB

The Registries Stakeholder Group (RySG) provided feedback on the proposed language for various sections of the AGB, emphasizing that transparency of the rules and predictability throughout the application, evaluation, and subsequent processes are essential. The RySG also urged ICANN to allow for a longer-than-usual public comment period for the final draft of the AGB.

Links: [RySG Comment \(7 Feb\)](#) – [ICANN org Report of Comments \(24 Feb\)](#)

DRAFT PTI FY26 OPERATING PLAN AND BUDGET

The Registries Stakeholder Group (RySG) is generally supportive of the PTI FY26 Operating Plan and Budget but would appreciate greater specificity on how preparations for the next round of gTLDs are accounted for during FY26, as well as more clarity regarding the duration and impact of multi-year projects.

Links: [RySG Comment \(14 Feb\)](#) – [ICANN org Report of Comments \(2 April\)](#)

ICANN FY26-30 OPERATING & FINANCIAL PLAN, ICANN/IANA FY26 OP PLANS & BUDGETS

The Registries Stakeholder Group (RySG) notes that more detailed accounting would support better analysis of ICANN's service prioritization, expresses concern over the impact of eliminating the Additional Budget Request (ABR) process, and highlights that the current presentation of budget documents does not provide a clear overview of where ICANN is focusing—or not focusing—its resources. The RySG requested clarification on the intended use of SFICR resources, provided comments on the Reserve Fund, registry fee increase, and ICANN meetings, and also offered feedback on several of ICANN's strategic initiatives.

Links: [RySG Comment \(14 Feb\)](#) – [ICANN org Report of Comments \(2 April\)](#)

PROPOSED UPDATES TO THE OPERATING STANDARDS FOR SPECIFIC REVIEWS

The Registries Stakeholder Group (RySG) provides comments on proposed updates to different sections of the Operating Standards for Specific Reviews and does not support the new section on Initialization and Planning.

Links: [RySG Comment \(27 March\)](#) – ICANN org Report of Comments (not yet published)

2ND QUARTER 2025

FOURTH PROCEEDING FOR PROPOSED LANGUAGE FOR DRAFT SECTIONS OF NEXT ROUND AGB

The Registries Stakeholder Group (RySG) submitted comments on proposed next round AGB language and noted inconsistencies with SupPro Final Report recommendations for the following topics: Registry Voluntary Commitments / Public Interest Commitments (RVCs/PICs), Application Questions, Application Fees, Terms and Conditions, Financial & Operational Evaluation, Registry Service Provider Selection, Name Collision, Community Priority Evaluation (CPE), and Contention Set Resolution.

Links: [RySG Comment \(1 April\)](#) – [ICANN org Report of Comments \(16 April\)](#)

SECOND IANA NAMING FUNCTION REVIEW TEAM (IFR2) INITIAL REPORT

The Registries Stakeholder Group (RySG) supports each of the recommendations contained in the IFR and believes they will promote transparency and improve efficiencies going forward. The RySG also supports the IFR's Incidental Findings.

Links: [RySG Comment \(25 April\)](#) – [ICANN org Report of Comments \(2 April\)](#)

PROPOSAL FOR THAANA SCRIPT ROOT ZONE LABEL GENERATION RULES

Members of the RySG have reviewed the proposed RZ-LGR and have no concerns with the proposal.

Links: [RySG Comment \(7 May\)](#) – [ICANN org Report of Comments \(21 May\)](#)

HOW WE MEET: REVIEWING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ICANN PUBLIC MEETINGS STRATEGY

The RySG provided feedback on the proposed options for updates to the implementation of ICANN's 2014 Public Meetings Strategy, suggested a community discussion on how to better utilize ICANN's resources in light of rising costs, and expressed support for ICANN Org to reevaluate its meeting sponsorship strategy.

Links: [RySG Comment \(19 May\)](#) – [ICANN org Report of Comments \(2 June\)](#)

GOVERNANCE DOCUMENT FOR THE RECOGNITION, MAINTENANCE, AND DERECOGNITION OF RIRS

The Registries Stakeholder Group (RySG) expressed support for the proposed Governance Document for the Recognition, Maintenance, and Derecognition of RIRs and appreciates that improvements made are consistent with RySG earlier comments on the Internet Coordination Policy 2 (ICP-2) Principles Consultation Document. The RySG offered additional comments with the intention of helping the ASO AC to improve the Governance Document.

Links: [RySG Comment \(27 May\)](#) – [ICANN org Report of Comments \(6 June\)](#)

CUSTOMER STANDING COMMITTEE (CSC) CHANGES PROCESS FOR AMENDING THE IANA SLAS

The RySG generally supports the proposed Process for Amending the IANA Naming Service Level Agreements and suggests several substantive improvements and editorial clarifications to the current version.

Links: [RySG Comment \(30 May\)](#) – [ICANN org Report of Comments \(1 July\)](#)

TRANSFER POLICY REVIEW WORKING GROUP FINAL REPORT FOR ICANN BOARD CONSIDERATION

The RySG supports the Final Report as drafted and recommends the ICANN Board adopt all recommendations.

Links: [RySG Comment \(16 June\)](#) – [ICANN org Report of Comments \(2 July\)](#)

PSR ON EXPIRED DOMAIN DELETION AND EXPIRED REGISTRATION RECOVERY POLICIES

The RySG appreciates the work done to develop this PSR, but does not believe there is a need at this time to modify the EDDP or ERRP. The PSR notes a very low level of complaints to ICANN Contractual Compliance related to these policies, indicating they are functioning as they should. The RySG further believes there are more urgent work items for the ICANN Community, such as DNS Abuse.

Links: [RySG Comment \(30 June\)](#) – ICANN org Report of Comments (not yet published)

OTHER COMMENT OPPORTUNITIES

HOW WE MEET

The Registries Stakeholder Group (RySG) provided feedback to the GNSO Council on ICANN's proposals for "How We Meet" (a.k.a. how to make ICANN's meetings more cost effective) and the potential recommendations that are intended to go out for public comment.

Links: RySG input (7 Feb)

GNSO ACCURACY ASSIGNMENT

The Registries Stakeholder Group (RySG) provided feedback to the GNSO Council on a set of threshold framing questions.

Links: RySG input (14 Feb)